

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,498	04/16/2001	Ki Young Oh	P/2292-43	5377
2352 7	7590 05/20/2003			
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403			EXAMINER	
			SONG, MATTHEW J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		9				
	Applicant(s)					
•	OH ET AL.					
	Art Unit	,				
	1765					
sh t with th correspondence address						
ent of this application. A proper reply to a amendment which places the application in ee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued						
er a) or b)]						
ne date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no m the mailing date of the final rejection. O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP						
on under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee onding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under eply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
filed within the period set forth in avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
and/or search (see NOTE below);						
r appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
ding number of finally rejected claims.						
submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment						
n has been considered but does NOT place the						
rected SOLELY to issues which were newly						
be entered or b) will be entered and an is provided below or appended.						

Advisory Action

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/835,498	OH ET AL.
ľ	Examin r	Art Unit
	Matthew J Song	1765

--Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover

THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonme final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed a

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 1-4 have an additional limitation, where one of the gas supply pipes surrounds another of the gas supply pipes, the gas pipes arranged to penetrate the ceiling of the reactive chamber through a common inlet so as to extend to a position above the susceptor..

BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700